## Women Rabbis?

## By: HERSHEL SCHACHTER

Ι

In the printed notes of Rav Soloveitchik's *shiurim* on *Shulchan Aruch*, *Yoreh De'ah*, prepared for publication by Rabbi Eliyakim Koenigsberg, in the very first essay, the Rav is quoted as having said that a woman may not serve as a rabbi. The Tanna'im understood the *pasuk* in Chumash as implying that women may not be appointed to the position of King. The Talmud points out that the repetition of the verb in the *pasuk* "som tasim alecha melech" implies that this principle applies not only to the position of King, but to all positions of serarah and authority. Hence it would follow that a woman may not be appointed president of a synagogue or as rabbi, since these are also positions of serarah. The reason the Rav brought up this topic in the beginning of Yoreh De'ah is because the Rama quotes the practice of not appointing a woman as the town

Rabbi Hershel Schachter is *Rosh Kollel* in RIETS' Marcos and Adina Katz Kollel and occupies Yeshiva University's Nathan and Vivian Fink Distinguished Professorial Chair in Talmud.

<sup>&</sup>quot;שיעורי הרב על עניני שחיטה מליחה בשר וחלב ותערובות" (2005 Mesorah, OU).

שום תשים עליך מלך – מלך ולא מלכה (ספרי קנז) והשוה גמ' ברכות (מט.) מלכות אינה בנשים. ודין זה הובא ברמב"ם פ"א ה"ה ממלכים.

<sup>.(:</sup>מה:). יבמות (מה:).

זטיטו דברים יזיטו <sup>4</sup>

<sup>5</sup> הרמב"ם פ"א ממלכים ה"ה מצרף ביחד שתי דרשות אלו וכותב להלכה שאין למנות אשה לשום שררה. וכנראה שכן היתה גרסתו בספרו הנ"ל (וכפי המופיע בפי' המיוחס להראב"ד שמה), האיש ממנים פרנס על הצבור אבל לא האשה. ותגובת רבנו על מינוי גולדה מאיר לראש הממשלה, עי' ס' נפש הרב (עמ' צ'-צ"א). ובענין שררה לנשים עי' עוד שרידי אש ח"ג (סי' ק"ה).

עי' רמ"א ליו"ד סוף סי' רמ"ה ובהגר"א שמה ס"ק ל"ח שתפסו בפשיטות שרבנות בקהילה גדרה כשררה. וכן תפס בפשיטות בתשו' אבני נזר חיו"ד (סי' שי"ב), ובתשו' שרידי אש ח"ב סי' פ"ט.

יורה דעה סי' א' ס"א. <sup>7</sup>

shochet. The Beis Yosef quotes the Kol Bo who seems to say<sup>8</sup> that although a woman may shecht privately, she may not be appointed as the town shochet. If indeed this was the intention of the Kol Bo it could be understood that this appointment would constitute a form of serarah. Indeed in Europe before the war, the town shochet was, in a certain sense, the assistant rabbi. The shochet knew sections of Shulchan Aruch, Yoreh De'ah, and often when the rabbi would be on vacation, the shochet would paskin the she'eilos.

The idea behind this halachah is the principle of *tznius*. People make a mistake in thinking that tznius only applies to the proper form of dress for women. That is only one aspect of tznius. The basic principle of tznius is that Hashem is described by the prophet Isaiah as a Keil Mistater, "a G-d who concealed Himself." In fact, he does such a good job at hiding, many don't even realize that He is really there. Hashem created all men B'Tzelem Elokim, and Bnai Yisrael with an even deeper degree of this Tzelem Elokim-known as Banim LaMakom. In Parashas Ki Savo, 10 the Torah commands all Jews ve'halachta bidrachav, to imitate Hashem by going in His ways. The idea behind the mitzvah is not to pretend that we are someone that we are not, but rather to preserve the Tzelem Elokim that we already possess. The very next pasuk in the Torah continues, ve'ra'u kol amei ha'aretz, and all the nations of the world will realize that we have succeeded in preserving our Tzelem Elokim, then ve'yar'u mi'meka, they will learn from us how to act in a fashion of yir'as Shamayim. 11 They too possess a Tzelem Elokim, and they too have the ability to preserve their Tzelem Elokim. The Chumash is pointing out here by ve'ra'u kol amei ha'aretz, the idea that was spelled out later by the prophet Isaiah, that one of the roles of the Jewish people is to serve as "a light unto the other nations" (or la'govim).  $^{12}$ 

Included in the mitzvah to go in the ways of Hashem and thereby preserve our *Tzelem Elokim* is the concept of a *Keil Mistater*.

בית יוסף ריש טור יו"ד.

ישעיה מה:טו. <sup>9</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> דברים כח:ט.

See my essay in Rav Chesed: Essays in .(בשם הגר"א). אדרת אליהו (בשם הגר"א). Honor of Rabbi Dr. Haskel Lookstein vol. 2 p. 203.

ישעיה מט:ו $^{1}$ 

We too must therefore strive to be anonymous and maintain strict privacy. We know that Hashem did reveal Himself on rare occasions. This is known as *Gilui Shechinah*. So on occasion we are all called upon to do things in a demonstrative fashion and in a public forum. We must have a government with a king, a governor and a mayor. We need a *shaliach tzibur* to lead us in *tefillah*; we need a rabbi. But even then we recommend that whenever possible, only the men should compromise on *tznius* and take on these public positions. Women are always encouraged to avoid compromising on their privacy. The Midrash<sup>13</sup> comments on the fact that the first *luchos*, which were given with great publicity and fanfare, were broken; they suffered from an *ayin hara*. The second *luchos*, which were given in a very quiet and private fashion (*b'tznius*), were not broken. The moral of the story is that it is always best to strive for *tznius*.

We have a very old *minhag* to break a glass under the *chuppah*. According to the Tashbatz (a *talmid* of the Maharam miRottenberg), the *minhagim* of the wedding are based on *Maamad Har Sinai* which was, so to speak, the wedding between Hashem and Klal Yisrael. The Maharshal suggests<sup>14</sup> that the breaking of the glass under the *chuppah* is to commemorate the smashing of the *luchos*. What is the significance of commemorating the breaking of the *luchos* at our weddings? Rav Soloveitchik suggested that perhaps this is to serve as a warning to the young couple that they should be careful to maintain *tznius* in their lives. When *tznius* is lost, sometimes this might lead to utter *churban*.<sup>15</sup>

II

From the interpretation of the *pesukim* in *Parashas Mishpatim*, <sup>16</sup> the *Chachamim* of the Talmud<sup>17</sup> have established that at least for a *din Torah* in the area of *gezeilos ve'chavalos*, a Bais Din consisting of three *semuchim* (ordained rabbis) is required. Usually we have a

<sup>&</sup>quot;עמ' שפ"ו – שפ"ז). ועי' מפניני הרב (עמ' שפ"ו – שפ"ז).  $^{13}$ 

ביש"ש פ"א דכתובות סי' י"ז.

<sup>.</sup> השוה ס' דברי הרב (עמ' רכ"ט).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> שמות (כב:ז,ח).

נהדרין (ג:). <sup>17</sup>

rule<sup>18</sup> that one who is disqualified to serve as an *eid* in a *din Torah* is likewise disqualified to serve as a *dayan*. The Mishnah<sup>19</sup> has established that women are disqualified to serve as witnesses in a *din Torah*. The combination of these two principles has led the majority of the Baalei HaTosafos to believe that women are disqualified from serving as *dayanim*. Tosafos<sup>20</sup> record a minority position that a woman may serve as a *dayan*.

Biblically, to qualify as a *dayan*, one must have *semichah*. Obviously this minority opinion of Tosafos feels that a woman may have *semichah*. However, this opinion has been outvoted centuries ago, and the accepted view in *Shulchan Aruch* (*Choshen Mishpat*, Chapter 7, no. 4) is that a woman may not function as a *dayan*.

About fifteen hundred years ago, the Biblical institution of semichah was discontinued. Semichah can only be conferred in Eretz Yisrael,<sup>21</sup> and for many years the non-Jewish government in Eretz Yisrael attempted to prevent the rabbis from conferring semichah. The Talmud records<sup>22</sup> the mesirus nefesh of the Tanna, Rabbi Yehuda ben Bava, in publicly conferring semichah on several of his students, knowing in advance that he would be put to death for so doing. He obviously felt that this issue belonged in the category of mitzvos where we declare yehareg v'al ya'avor;<sup>23</sup> we encourage one to give up his life in order to secure the continuation of the chain of semichah from the days of Moshe Rabbeinu. The Shulchan Aruch states<sup>24</sup> that today's *semichah* is not really the biblical ordination spoken of by the halachah, but merely an "imitation semichah" in fulfillment of the rabbinic law instituted by the later rabbis that no one should paskin any halachos unless authorized to do so by his rebbe. It is for this reason that in Europe many referred to today's semichah as "heter hora'ah" (permission to paskin).

Years ago, when the Jewish Theological Seminary was considering ordaining women, Rabbi Shaul Lieberman expressed his ex-

נדה (מט:).

שבועות (ל.).

<sup>.</sup> מוס' ב"ק (טו.) ד"ה אשר. <sup>20</sup>

סנהדרין (יד.).

<sup>22</sup> סנהדרין (יג: - יד.).

עי' כסף משנה ריש פ"ה מהל' יסה"ת.

רמ"א ליו"ד סי' רמ"ב סי"ד וש"ך שמה ס"ק כ"ב. <sup>24</sup>

treme disapproval. He quoted *Rishonim* that the modern "imitation semichah" is, in a certain sense, a carryover from the semichah of old, and since the accepted view is that women may not serve as dayanim, and the semichah of old was for the purpose of enabling one to function as a dayan, it would follow that the real biblical semichah was never conferred on women.<sup>25</sup> And likewise, the modern imitation semichah, being a carryover from the semichah of old, cannot be conferred on women.

Based on the interpretation of the Torah she'Be'al Peh, the pesukim tell us that we may not appoint a ger to serve as King or in any capacity of serarah, as, for example, to serve as a rabbi of a community, or (as mentioned by the Talmud)<sup>26</sup> as president of a labor union. A ger may not serve as a dayan in a din Torah involving a Yisrael, but may serve as a dayan in a din Torah involving other geirim.<sup>27</sup> Obviously it is possible to confer semichah on a ger, otherwise he would not even be able to serve as a dayan for a case involving other geirim. Although a ger may not serve as a rabbi in a kehillah of Yisraelim, we still allow *geirim* to join the *semichah* program in the Yeshiva and to receive *semichah* upon successful completion of their studies, because years ago real semichah was sometimes conferred on geirim. Women, however, never received real semichah. Therefore, according to the Rishonim quoted by Rabbi Lieberman, today's "imitation semichah," being a carryover from the biblical semichah of old, ought not to be granted to women.<sup>28</sup>

<a href="http://www.torahweb.org/torah/2004/parsha/rsch">http://www.torahweb.org/torah/2004/parsha/rsch</a> dvorim2.html>.

See Marc B. Shapiro, Saul Lieberman and the Orthodox (University of Scranton Press, 2006) p. 37 in the Hebrew section.

ברושין (עו:´ קדושין (עו:´

<sup>.(.</sup>במות (קב.).

ולהרחבת הדברים עי' עוד מש"כ במאמרי ב